



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,380	11/03/2003	Eveline Catherina Anna Clasina Timmermans	2183-5581.1US	9902
24247	7590	12/13/2006	EXAMINER	
TRASK BRITT			BABIC, CHRISTOPHER M	
P.O. BOX 2550			ART UNIT	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			PAPER NUMBER	

1637

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

101700,380

EXAMINER
----------

Babic, C

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

20061205

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The reply filed on November 20, 2006 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s):

No response has been filed to the rejections over claim 11 of van Gemen et al. (U.S. 6,967,016 B2) on the grounds of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting.

37 CFR 1.111 clearly states, "In order to be entitled to reconsideration or further examination, the applicant or patent owner must reply to the Office action. The reply by the applicant or patent owner must be reduced to a writing which distinctly and specifically points out the supposed errors in the examiner's action and must reply to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office action."

A response to a rejection of claims on the grounds of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting is required for the response to be considered compliant.

Applicant may respond by indicating that a terminal disclaimer will be filed, or by distinctly and specifically pointing out the supposed errors in the rejection. However, a response indicating that Applicant will consider filing a terminal disclaimer is not considered responsive.

Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Ch W De 12/4/06

GARY BENZION, PH.D.  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600